

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

This is in response to the Final Rejection of May 24, 2007.

Claims 1-5 and 7-11 are in the application.

In the Final Rejection the Examiner rejected claims 1-4 and 7-10 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph as being indefinite since claim 1 recites "at least one"(sic) primary trough but subsequently recites a structure requiring at least two troughs. In response thereto, claim 1 has been amended to specify that there are "two or more" primary troughs. The Examiner is accordingly requested to review and withdraw the rejection of the claims based on 35 USC 112, second par. Additionally, since the Examiner has specifically noted that the claims are otherwise allowable, the Examiner is further respectfully requested to allow such claims.


Claim 11, was rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Streander or Huebner. Claim 12 was rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Streander or Huebner in view of Lemmon. Rejections of claim 14 and 15 and the objection to claim 16 have been rendered moot by the cancellation thereof.

Claims 5 and 13 were objected to as depending from rejected claims but were otherwise found to be allowable if put into dependent form with limitations of the base claim and intervening dependent claims. Accordingly, claim 5, dependent on claim 11, has been made independent, and claim 11 has been amended to include the limitations of claims 12 and 13 (effectively equivalent to an independent claim 13). Claims 5 and 11 are in condition for allowance and such favorable action is respectfully solicited.

THIS CORRESPONDENCE IS
BEING SUBMITTED
ELECTRONICALLY
THROUGH THE PATENT AND
TRADEMARK OFFICE EFS
FILING SYSTEM ON
SEPTEMBER 21, 2007

RCF:IN/cli

Respectfully submitted,



ROBERT C. FABER
Registration No.: 24,322
OSTROLENK, FABER, GERB & SOFFEN, LLP
1180 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10036-8403
Telephone: (212) 382-0700